

The Methamphetamine Epidemic: Implications for HIV Prevention and Treatment

Grant Colfax, MD*, and Steven Shoptaw, PhD

Address

*San Francisco Department of Public Health, 25 Van Ness Avenue,
Suite 710, San Francisco, CA 94102, USA.
E-mail: grant.colfax@sfdph.org

Current HIV/AIDS Reports 2005, 2:194–199
Current Science Inc. ISSN 1548-3568
Copyright © 2005 by Current Science Inc.

Methamphetamine and related amphetamine compounds are among the most commonly used illicit drugs, with over 35 million users worldwide. In the United States, admissions for methamphetamine treatment have increased dramatically over the past 10 years. Methamphetamine use is prevalent among persons with HIV infection and persons at risk for HIV, particularly among men who have sex with men. In addition to being associated with increased sexual risk behavior, methamphetamine causes significant medical morbidity, including neurologic deficits, cardiovascular compromise, dental decay, and skin infections, all of which may be worsened in the presence of HIV/AIDS. Methamphetamine use may also result in decreased medication adherence, particularly during “binging” episodes. Behavioral counseling remains the standard of treatment for methamphetamine dependence, although the effectiveness of most counseling interventions has not been rigorously tested. Pharmacologic and structural interventions may prove valuable additional interventions to reduce methamphetamine use.

Introduction

Methamphetamine use contributes to substantial morbidity and mortality among persons with HIV infection and those at risk for HIV infection. This review covers the epidemiology of methamphetamine use and its multifactorial influence on HIV transmission and on HIV disease. Current and future research on methamphetamine and recommendations for treatment of methamphetamine users are described.

Prevalence of Methamphetamine Use

Methamphetamine is a synthetically derived psychostimulant manufactured from ephedrine, a common ingredient in many decongestants. Methamphetamine—also known as

“speed,” “ice,” “tina,” “crystal,” and “crank”—is injected, smoked, snorted, or ingested orally or anally and results in feelings of euphoria, increased energy, decreased appetite, feelings of invulnerability, and heightened sexual desire [1]. Worldwide, methamphetamine is second only to cannabis as the most commonly abused illicit drug, with an estimated 35 million persons regularly using methamphetamine [2].

Amphetamines were first synthetically derived in 1887 and were subsequently used as appetite suppressants; currently, the Food and Drug Administration has approved dextroamphetamine and methyphenidate for treatment of narcolepsy and attention deficit disorder [3]. Reports of amphetamine abuse date from the 1930s [3]; the current methamphetamine epidemic emerged in the 1980s, with widespread use of methamphetamine first documented in Hawaii and the West Coast and corresponding proliferations of illegal “meth labs” run by drug cartels manufacturing large quantities of methamphetamine [4••]. However, the methamphetamine epidemic is now nationwide; in 2003, over 1.2 million people over the age of 12 reported use of amphetamine-type stimulants in the past month [5]. Methamphetamine is the primary drug of abuse at admission to treatment in 14 states in the United States [6]. Admissions for stimulant treatment, believed to be primarily methamphetamine, increased fivefold between 1992 and 2002 and exceeded 344,000 in 2003 [7]. Because methamphetamine is currently the most popular amphetamine, for the remainder of this article “methamphetamine” will be used to refer to both methamphetamine and its metabolite, amphetamine [8].

Methamphetamine use is disproportionately represented among populations at risk for HIV and infected with HIV. Among men who have sex with men (MSM), prevalence of use of methamphetamine and other amphetamine-type stimulants is approximately 10 times higher than in the general population, although heavy use (>weekly) is reported by a minority of MSM who use methamphetamines [9,10]. In a probability-based sample of young, American MSM (aged 15–22 years), 20% reported use of methamphetamine in the prior 6 months, [11]. Studies of targeted populations of MSM show even higher rates of methamphetamine use [12]. Among persons with HIV infection in clinical care, methamphetamine use is also high; a recent clinic-based

survey of persons with HIV found that 40% of MSM, 30% of heterosexual men, and 19% of women reported methamphetamine use in the prior year [13].

Mechanisms of Methamphetamine Effects

Methamphetamine's effects last 10 to 12 hours, considerably longer than that of cocaine [14••]. Methamphetamine increases extracellular dopamine levels through the release of dopamine from vesicular stores [15••]. Although acute use of methamphetamine is associated with high dopamine levels, chronic use is associated with decreased dopamine levels, which correlate with degeneration of dopamine nerve terminals and reductions in dopamine transporter activity [15••]. Even though the mechanisms causing these deficiencies remain to be fully understood, methamphetamines and methamphetamine-analogues have been shown to have neurotoxic effects on dopamine neurons. The severity of neurotoxicity appears to be related to the dose of methamphetamine, as well as dependent on the chronicity of methamphetamine exposure [16]. Although most research has focused on methamphetamine's effects on the dopaminergic system, methamphetamine also influences the noradrenergic, serotonergic, and glutamatergic systems [17,18].

Medical Consequences of Methamphetamine Use

Psychologic effects of methamphetamine begin with euphoria, behavioral disinhibition, and goal-directed behavior, and escalate to anxiety, insomnia, hypervigilance, paranoia, and often persecutory delusions that are indistinguishable acutely from paranoid schizophrenia [19]. The clinical sequelae of long-term methamphetamine use remain to be fully described, but a number of neurologic deficits have been noted among chronic methamphetamine users compared with controls, including differences in brain metabolism that correlates with mood disorders [20,21]. Other work demonstrates that long-term methamphetamine use is correlated with impaired cognitive performance and depression [22,23], although there is some evidence that these abnormalities improve after prolonged abstinence from the drug [24,25].

Appetite suppression induced by methamphetamine can result in severe weight loss [15••]. Methamphetamine effects on the cardiovascular system include increased heart rate and blood pressure, tachycardia, and dysrhythmias [26]. Methamphetamine use has been identified as a risk factor for acquisition of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* [27], and the behavioral effects of methamphetamine include excessive scratching and picking behaviors that can cause severe dermatologic lesions [24]. Methamphetamine is also associated with severe dental disease, due to xerostomia (persistent dry mouth attributable to methamphetamine's sympathomimetic properties), brux-

ism (excessive teeth grinding), the high intake of soft drinks frequently observed among methamphetamine users, and decreased oral hygiene during periods of methamphetamine use [28]. Less frequent consequences of acute methamphetamine intoxication or overdose are severe hyperthermia and convulsions [29], rhabdomyolysis [30], ischemic episodes including stroke [31], and myocardial infarction [32].

Withdrawal from methamphetamine use produces a syndrome that includes severe anxiety, anhedonia, anergia, and mild to moderate depression [14••,22]. These symptoms are thought to be largely due to rapidly decreasing levels of dopamine in the nucleus accumbens following drug cessation [3].

Methamphetamine and HIV Transmission

Methamphetamine use is a driving force in the transmission of HIV. Methamphetamine's effects on sexual behaviors include increasing sexual drive and decreasing inhibitions, factors that lead persons to engage in high-risk sex [33]. Research demonstrates that the vast majority of MSM methamphetamine users report that sex and methamphetamine "always" or "often" go together [34], and qualitative studies report that MSM use methamphetamine specifically to enhance performance of sexual acts [35]. Most studies estimate that methamphetamine use doubles or triples the probability of engaging in high-risk sexual behavior and acquisition of sexually transmitted infections, including HIV [36–41]. In our study of MSM who attend circuit parties, for instance, use of methamphetamine was independently associated with engaging in unprotected anal sex with an unknown or opposite serostatus partners (odds ratio [OR], 2.4; 95% CI, 1.1–4.9) [12]. Wong *et al.* [42] found that methamphetamine was independently associated with syphilis among gay and bisexual men (OR, 3.2; 95% CI, 3–7.6). Among MSM testing anonymously for HIV in San Francisco, HIV incidence was 6.3% among methamphetamine users compared with nonusers [43]. Methamphetamine use also corresponds with high numbers of sexual partners [44,45], and decreased condom use [46]. Stone *et al.* [47] reported that methamphetamine use was independently associated with condom breakage (OR, 1.6; 95% CI, 1.0–2.3). Most research on methamphetamine use and HIV risk behavior has focused on MSM populations, but the relationship between methamphetamine use and sexual risk has been documented among heterosexual populations of men and women [48,49], including among persons who inject methamphetamine [50].

Methamphetamine and HIV Pathogenesis

The potential direct effects of methamphetamine on HIV and HIV disease progression remain to be determined. One study found that methamphetamine use was associated with

higher viral loads and decreased effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy, including after controlling for self-reported adherence to antiretroviral therapy [51]. Even though the cross-sectional design of this study makes it impossible to determine whether methamphetamine use was causal in increasing viral loads, these intriguing findings reinforce the need for further research in this area. Methamphetamine has been shown to increase viral replication and mutation rates in feline cells infected with feline immunodeficiency virus, prompting speculation that methamphetamine may have some direct proviral effects on HIV [52]. Within the central nervous system, HIV and methamphetamine may have additive neurotoxic effects leading to neuropsychologic impairment [53]; autopsy studies suggest that the combination of methamphetamine use and HIV infection may increase neuronal injury [54].

Methamphetamine and Antiretroviral Medications

Metabolism

Methamphetamine is metabolized through the CYP2D6 of the P450 system, which also interacts with a variety of antiretroviral therapy (ART) medications. Co-administration of methamphetamine with antiretrovirals, especially protease inhibitors, may result in elevated methamphetamine levels [55]. There have been several case reports of possible fatal interactions between protease inhibitors and methamphetamine or the methamphetamine analogue *n*-methyl-3,4-methylenedioxyamphetamine (MDMA, "ecstasy") [56,57].

Medication adherence

Methamphetamine users report suboptimal adherence to ART regimens and are therefore at risk for the development of resistant virus [58]. Although the prevalence of drug-resistant HIV among methamphetamine users with either acute or established HIV infection is unknown, patterns of methamphetamine use may result in especially favorable conditions for the selection of drug-resistance. Among many methamphetamine users, drug use is episodic, consisting of "speed runs" that last for 24 to 72 hours, followed by days or even weeks of drug abstinence [59]. Qualitative research shows that speed runs are frequently associated with "medication holidays," during which medication schedules are often altered or ignored due to altered sleep and food schedules and a singular focus on sexual behavior [58]. Such sporadic treatment interruptions could result in favorable selective pressure of drug-resistant virus.

Treatment of Methamphetamine Abuse Behavioral interventions

Behavioral counseling, in the form of either outpatient or inpatient programs, is the current standard of treatment for methamphetamine abuse. Most programs have been adapted from cocaine and alcohol treatment programs and

vary in intensity [14••]. Among persons who do access behavioral treatment services, methamphetamine use is reduced during treatment in nearly all instances [60,61]. Drop-out rates in these programs are as high as 75%, and relapse is common. The minimum number of counseling sessions required to reduce methamphetamine use and the elements of the behavioral counseling that produce optimal drug reduction remain to be determined. Even though research has demonstrated that persons enrolled in substance use treatment programs report reduced sexual risk, the effects of methamphetamine treatment programs on reducing drug-related sexual risk behaviors are for the most part unmeasured [62].

Most behavioral approaches involve components of motivational interviewing and cognitive-based therapy. A multisite evaluation of the Matrix Model, a behavioral therapy intervention delivered using 48 outpatient group and individual sessions over 16 weeks, was based on an approach previously used to treat cocaine-dependent individuals. Outcomes in a large sample of mostly heterosexual methamphetamine-dependent participants showed that at the 6-month follow-up visit there were no differences in methamphetamine use among persons assigned to Matrix intervention compared with those assigned to a treatment-as-usual comparison condition of outpatient substance treatment; however, methamphetamine use declined in both groups from baseline, and the Matrix intervention was associated with more consecutive methamphetamine-negative urines during the intervention phase compared with treatment as usual [63••].

Harm-reduction models are also being used to treat methamphetamine users; the Stonewall Project in San Francisco is designed specifically for methamphetamine users and is well-received by participants but has not been evaluated in a randomized, controlled trial [64]. The Project MIX study funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, which includes large numbers of methamphetamine-using MSM, is a current, randomized, controlled, multisite trial evaluating whether a risk-reduction approach reduces methamphetamine use and sexual risk; final results will not be available for several years.

Contingency management

Contingency management involves the provision of vouchers of escalating value for successive urine samples documenting drug abstinence, with reset of the voucher to lower values in the case of positive drug urine. Strategies using contingency management have been shown to reduce use of heroin and cocaine [65,66].

Shoptaw *et al.* [67] recently reported on the comparative efficacy of contingency management, cognitive-behavioral therapy (based on the Matrix Model), their combination, and a culturally tailored version of cognitive-behavioral therapy for MSM who were methamphetamine-dependent. During the 16-week treatment period, conditions containing contingency management produced more methamphet-

amine-negative urine samples and greater participant retention compared with standard cognitive-behavioral therapy arm, whereas the culturally tailored therapy version produced greater reductions in sexual risk behaviors compared with standard cognitive-behavioral therapy. By 1-year follow-up evaluations, all conditions sustained over threefold reduction in methamphetamine use and concomitant sexual risk behaviors from baseline [67]. Although the acceptability and feasibility of contingency management implemented outside formal treatment settings remain to be determined, this approach may be more acceptable to persons unwilling to participate in counseling programs but who would seek to reduce their methamphetamine use.

Pharmacologic interventions

Compared with extensive research on pharmacologic interventions for treating cocaine and heroin dependence, research on pharmacologic interventions for methamphetamine dependence has only recently expanded [60]. Several observational studies have prescribed stimulants as “replacement therapy” to treat methamphetamine use; however, the only randomized, controlled trial of dextroamphetamine demonstrated no significant differences between the treatment arm and the placebo arm, although both groups reduced their methamphetamine use [68]. Concerns have been raised about providing methamphetamine users with controlled substances given their abuse potential [69]. A phase II, multisite trial to treat methamphetamine dependence with bupropion (Wellbutrin[®], GlaxoSmithKline, Philadelphia, PA), an antidepressant drug with dopaminergic properties, is ongoing with results expected in the near future. Phase I studies of vigabatrin, an anticonvulsant, have demonstrated that trial completers reduced their methamphetamine use from baseline, but nearly half of the participants did not complete the study [70]. The depletion of the amino acid tyrosine significantly dampened subjective and objective effects of methamphetamine in a double-blind, placebo-controlled phase I crossover study [71]; however, this work has not been evaluated outside the pharmacology laboratory. Randomized, controlled phase II studies of medications evaluated for methamphetamine dependence that have shown no effects on methamphetamine use or any of a variety of subjective effects of include the calcium channel blocker, amlodapine [72], the serotonin reuptake inhibitor, fluoxetine [73], the tricyclic antidepressant, imipramine [74], and the serotonergic antagonist, ondansetron [75].

Structural interventions

The production of methamphetamine may be particularly susceptible to regulation of methamphetamine precursors. Unlike marijuana, cocaine, or heroin, which are derived directly from agricultural products that can be grown in a variety of geographic areas, the precursors to methamphetamine require substantial technology to produce and are manufactured by a limited number of companies. Federal

regulations of the sales of bulk ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and ephedrine-containing products have been associated with reductions in methamphetamine-related hospitalizations, arrests, and methamphetamine purity [4••,76,77]. A recently enacted Oklahoma law requires that pseudoephedrine-containing products be sold behind pharmacy counters and requires personal identification for drug purchase [4••]. The proposed Federal Combat Meth Act of 2005 is modeled after the Oklahoma law and would also provide additional financial resources for methamphetamine-related law enforcement activities. Several national United States pharmacy chain stores have recently voluntarily placed all pseudoephedrine-containing products behind counters. Additional structural interventions could potentially include requiring that ephedrines be combined with additives that would impair the process of methamphetamine synthesis or an outright ban on all pseudoephedrine-containing products, substituting medications that cannot be synthesized into methamphetamine.

Conclusions

Treatment providers should ask all patients who are HIV positive and those at risk for HIV infection whether they are currently using methamphetamine. If patients report use, the frequency of use and route of administration should be determined: patients who report injection use should be provided with needle exchange referrals and discouraged from sharing needles or works. All sexually active methamphetamine users should be provided with HIV risk reduction counseling with regard to sexual risk behavior [78], and condoms (both male and female, if possible) should be provided if patients report high-risk sexual behaviors. Patients on ART should be assessed for adherence patterns during methamphetamine use periods, especially if they engage in methamphetamine-binging behavior. Medical comorbidities, including skin infections, dental problems, and depression, should be assessed and treated.

Behavioral counseling remains the mainstay of treatment, and patients should be referred to treatment programs whenever possible. It is imperative that providers become familiar with programs in their communities that address methamphetamine use, including the treatment philosophies of such programs (eg, requiring total abstinence or based on achieving risk reduction), their approach (group, individual, or both), the cost and availability of programs, and the population served (gay men, heterosexuals, etc.). If patients are initially hesitant to seek treatment for methamphetamine use, providers should continue to inquire about their willingness to seek treatment at subsequent visits. Finally, health care providers should consider advocating for more stringent controls on methamphetamine precursors through lobbying both pharmaceutical companies and elected politicians to exert more controls over the manufacture and distribution of precursors of this drug.

References and Recommended Reading

Papers of particular interest, published recently, have been highlighted as:

- Of importance
- Of major importance

1. Cretzmeyer M, Sarrazin MV, Huber DL, *et al.*: **Treatment of methamphetamine abuse: research findings and clinical directions.** *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2003, 24:267–277.
 2. Rawson RA, Anglin MD, Ling W: **Will the methamphetamine problem go away?** *J Addict Dis* 2002, 21:5–19.
 3. Ellinwood EH, King G, Lee TH: **Chronic amphetamine use and abuse.** In *Psychopharmacology: The Fourth Generation of Progress CD-ROM*. Edited by Watson SJ. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 1998.
 4. •• Suo S: **Unnecessary epidemic.** *The Oregonian*, October 3–7, 2004:1–24.
- Excellent overview of the methamphetamine epidemic
5. National Institute on Drug Abuse: **InfoFacts: Nationwide trends: NIDA**, <http://www.drugabuse.gov/Infobox/nation-trends.html>. 2004.
 6. **Methamphetamine treatment admission rates higher than those of cocaine and/or heroin in western states.** *CESAR Fax* 2005, 14:12.
 7. Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration: **2003 National survey on drug use and health.** <http://oas.samhsa.gov/NHSDA/2k3NSDUH/2k3results.htm>.
 8. Cho AK, Melega WP: **Patterns of methamphetamine abuse and their consequences.** *J Addict Dis* 2002, 21:21–34.
 9. Colfax G, Vittinghoff E, Husnik MJ, *et al.*: **Substance use and sexual risk: a participant- and episode-level analysis among a cohort of men who have sex with men.** *Am J Epidemiol* 2004, 159:1002–1012.
 10. Stall R, Paul JP, Greenwood G, *et al.*: **Alcohol use, drug use, and alcohol-related problems among men who have sex with men: the Urban Health Study.** *Addiction* 2001, 96:1589–1601.
 11. Thiede H, Valleroy LA, MacKellar DA, *et al.*: **Regional patterns and correlates of substance use among young men who have sex with men in 7 US urban areas.** *Am J Public Health* 2003, 93:1915–1921.
 12. Colfax GN, Mansergh G, Guzman R, *et al.*: **Drug use and sexual risk behavior among gay and bisexual men who attend circuit parties: a venue-based comparison.** *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2001, 28:373–379.
 13. Mitchell SJ, Wong W, Kent CK, *et al.*: **Methamphetamine use and sexual activity among HIV-infected patients in care—San Francisco, 2004.** *Paper presented at National HIV Prevention Conference*, Atlanta, GA; June 13–15, 2005.
 14. •• Rawson RA, Gonzales R, Brethen P: **Treatment of methamphetamine use disorders: an update.** *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2002, 23:145–150.
- An important review of treatment options.
15. •• Nordahl TE, Salo R, Leamon M: **Neuropsychological effects of chronic methamphetamine use on neurotransmitters and cognition: a review.** *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2003, 15:317–325.
- An excellent review of the neurologic consequences of methamphetamine.
16. McCann UD, Ricaurte GA: **Amphetamine neurotoxicity: accomplishments and remaining challenges.** *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2004, 27:821–826.
 17. Johnson M, Stone DM, Hanson GR, *et al.*: **Role of the dopaminergic nigrostriatal pathway in methamphetamine-induced depression of the neostriatal serotonergic system.** *Eur J Pharmacol* 1987, 135:231–234.
 18. Mark KA, Soghomonian JJ, Yamamoto BK: **High-dose methamphetamine acutely activates the striatonigral pathway to increase striatal glutamate and mediate long-term dopamine toxicity.** *J Neurosci* 2004, 24:11449–11456.
 19. Sekine Y, Iyo M, Ouchi Y, *et al.*: **Methamphetamine-related psychiatric symptoms and reduced brain dopamine transporters studied with PET.** *Am J Psychiatry* 2001, 158:1206–1214.
 20. London ED, Simon SL, Berman SM, *et al.*: **Mood disturbances and regional cerebral metabolic abnormalities in recently abstinent methamphetamine abusers.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2004, 61:73–84.
 21. Volkow ND, Chang L, Wang GJ, *et al.*: **Higher cortical and lower subcortical metabolism in detoxified methamphetamine abusers.** *Am J Psychiatry* 2001, 158:383–389.
 22. Simon SL, Domier C, Carnell J, *et al.*: **Cognitive impairment in individuals currently using methamphetamine.** *Am J Addict* 2000, 9:222–231.
 23. Ornstein TJ, Iddon JL, Baldacchino AM, *et al.*: **Profiles of cognitive dysfunction in chronic amphetamine and heroin abusers.** *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2000, 23:113–126.
 24. Peck JA, Reback CJ, Yang X, *et al.*: **Sustained reductions in drug use and depression symptoms from treatment for drug abuse in methamphetamine-dependent gay and bisexual men.** *J Urban Health* 2005, 82:i100–108.
 25. Nordahl TE, Salo R, Natsuaki Y, *et al.*: **Methamphetamine users in sustained abstinence: a proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study.** *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2005, 62:444–452.
 26. Hardman JG, Limbird L, Gilman AG, eds.: *The Pharmacologic Basis of Therapeutics*. New York: McGraw Hill, 2001.
 27. Lee NE, Taylor MM, Bancroft E, *et al.*: **Risk factors for community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* skin infections among HIV-positive men who have sex with men.** *Clin Infect Dis* 2005, 40:1529–1534.
 28. McGrath C, Chan B: **Oral health sensations associated with illicit drug abuse.** *Br Dent J* 2005, 198:159–162.
 29. Buffum JC, Shulgin AT: **Overdose of 2.3 grams of intravenous methamphetamine: case, analysis and patient perspective.** *J Psychoactive Drugs* 2001, 33:409–412.
 30. Lan KC, Lin YF, Yu FC, *et al.*: **Clinical manifestations and prognostic features of acute methamphetamine intoxication.** *J Formos Med Assoc* 1998, 97:528–533.
 31. Perez JA, Jr, Arsura EL, Strategos S: **Methamphetamine-related stroke: four cases.** *J Emerg Med* 1999, 17:469–471.
 32. Hong R, Matsuyama E, Nur K: **Cardiomyopathy associated with the smoking of crystal methamphetamine.** *JAMA* 1991, 265:1152–1154.
 33. Reback CJ, Larkins S, Shoptaw S: **Changes in the meaning of sexual risk behaviors among gay and bisexual male methamphetamine abusers before and after drug treatment.** *AIDS Behav* 2004, 8:87–98.
 34. Ling W, Shoptaw S, Majewska D: **Baclofen as a cocaine anti-craving medication: a preliminary clinical study.** *Neuropsychopharmacology* 1998, 18:403–404.
 35. Sample SJ, Patterson TL, Grant I: **Motivations associated with methamphetamine use among HIV+ men who have sex with men.** *J Subst Abuse Treat* 2002, 22:149–156.
 36. Shoptaw S, Tross S, Stephens MA, *et al.*: **A snapshot of HIV-related assessment and treatment services at participating clinical treatment providers in NIDA's clinical trials network.** *Paper presented at XIV International AIDS Conference*. Barcelona, Spain; July 7–12, 2002.
 37. Molitor E, Truax SR, Ruiz JD, *et al.*: **Association of methamphetamine use during sex with risky sexual behaviors and HIV infection among non-injection drug users.** *West J Med* 1998, 168:93–97.
 38. Mansergh G, Colfax GN, Marks G, *et al.*: **The Circuit Party Men's Health Survey: findings and implications for gay and bisexual men.** *Am J Public Health* 2001, 91:953–958.
 39. Paul JP, Stall R, Davis F: **Sexual risk for HIV transmission among gay/bisexual men in substance-abuse treatment.** *AIDS Educ Prev* 1993, 5:11–24.
 40. Myers T, Rowe CJ, Tudiver FG, *et al.*: **HIV, substance use and related behavior of gay and bisexual men: an examination of the Talking Sex project cohort.** *Br J Addict* 1992, 87:207–214.
 41. Paul JP, Stall RD, Crosby GM, *et al.*: **Correlates of sexual risk-taking among gay male substance abusers.** *Addiction* 1994, 89:971–983.

42. Wong W, Chaw JK, Kent CK, *et al.*: Risk factors for early syphilis among gay and bisexual men seen in an STD clinic: San Francisco, 2002-2003. *Sex Transm Dis* 2005, 32:458-463.
43. Buchacz K, McFarland W, Kellogg T, *et al.*: Amphetamine use is associated with increased HIV incidence among men who have sex with men (MSM) in San Francisco. *AIDS* 2005, In press.
44. Rawson RA, Huber A, Brethen P, *et al.*: Status of methamphetamine users 2-5 years after outpatient treatment. *J Addict Dis* 2002, 21:107-119.
45. Molitor F, Ruiz JD, Flynn N, *et al.*: Methamphetamine use and sexual and injection risk behaviors among out-of-treatment injection drug users. *Am J Drug Alcohol Abuse* 1999, 25:475-493.
46. Halkitis PN, Parsons JT, Stirratt MJ: A double epidemic: crystal methamphetamine drug use in relation to HIV transmission among gay men. *J Homosex* 2001, 41:17-35.
47. Stone E, Heagerty P, Vittinghoff E, *et al.*: Correlates of condom failure in a sexually active cohort of men who have sex with men. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr Hum Retrovirol* 1999, 20:495-501.
48. Wohl AR, Johnson DE, Lu S, *et al.*: HIV risk behaviors among African American men in Los Angeles County who self-identify as heterosexual. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2002, 31:354-360.
49. Semple SJ, Grant I, Patterson TL: Female methamphetamine users: social characteristics and sexual risk behavior. *Women Health* 2004, 40:35-50.
50. Bogart LM, Kral AH, Scott A, *et al.*: Sexual risk among injection drug users recruited from syringe exchange programs in California. *Sex Transm Dis* 2005, 32:27-34.
51. Ellis RJ, Childers ME, Cherner M, *et al.*: Increased human immunodeficiency virus loads in active methamphetamine users are explained by reduced effectiveness of antiretroviral therapy. *J Infect Dis* 2003, 188:1820-1826.
52. Gavrilin MA, Mathes LE, Podell M: Methamphetamine enhances cell-associated feline immunodeficiency virus replication in astrocytes. *J Neurovirol* 2002, 8:240-249.
53. Rippeth JD, Heaton RK, Carey CL, *et al.*: Methamphetamine dependence increases risk of neuropsychological impairment in HIV infected persons. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc* 2004, 10:1-14.
54. Langford D, Adame A, Grigorian A, *et al.*: Patterns of selective neuronal damage in methamphetamine-user AIDS patients. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2003, 34:467-474.
55. Urbina A, Jones K: Crystal methamphetamine, its analogues, and HIV-infection: medical and psychiatric aspects of a new epidemic. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004, 38:890-894.
56. Henry JA, Hill IR: Fatal interaction between ritonavir and MDMA. *Lancet* 1998, 352:1751-1752.
57. Hales G, Roth N, Smith D: Possible fatal interaction between protease inhibitors and methamphetamine. *Antivir Ther* 2000, 5:19.
58. Reback CJ, Larkins S, Shoptaw S: Methamphetamine abuse as a barrier to HIV medication adherence among gay and bisexual men. *AIDS Care* 2003, 15:775-785.
59. Gorman M, Halkitis P: Methamphetamine and club drug use and HIV. *Focus* 2003, 18:5-6.
60. Rawson RA, Simon SL, Ling W: If a US drug abuse epidemic fails to include a major east coast city, can it be called an epidemic? *J Addict Dis* 2002, 21:1-4.
61. Maglione M, Chao B, Anglin MD: Correlates of outpatient drug treatment drop-out among methamphetamine users. *J Psychoactive Drugs* 2000, 32:221-228.
62. Stall RD, Paul JP, Barrett DC, *et al.*: An outcome evaluation to measure changes in sexual risk-taking among gay men undergoing substance use disorder treatment. *J Stud Alcohol* 1999, 60:837-845.
63. ●● Rawson RA, Marinelli-Casey P, Anglin MD, *et al.*: A multi-site comparison of psychosocial approaches for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence. *Addiction* 2004, 99:708-717. Results of an important randomized trial for methamphetamine dependence
64. Tweaker.org. <http://www.tweaker.org/>. Accessed July 2005.
65. Higgins ST, Budney AJ, Bickel WK, *et al.*: Achieving cocaine abstinence with a behavioral approach. *Am J Psychiatry* 1993, 150:763-769.
66. Iguchi MY, Stitzer ML, Bigelow GE, *et al.*: Contingency management in methadone maintenance: effects of reinforcing and aversive consequences on illicit polydrug use. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 1988, 22:1-7.
67. Shoptaw S, Reback CJ, Peck JA, *et al.*: Behavioral treatment approaches for methamphetamine dependence and HIV-related sexual risk behaviors among urban gay and bisexual men. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2005, 78:125-134.
68. Shearer J, Wodak A, Mattick RP, *et al.*: Pilot randomized controlled study of dexamphetamine substitution for amphetamine dependence. *Addiction* 2001, 96:1289-1296.
69. Grabowski J, Rhoades H, Stotts A, *et al.*: Agonist-like or antagonist-like treatment for cocaine dependence with methadone for heroin dependence: two double-blind randomized clinical trials. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2004, 29:969-981.
70. Brodie JD, Figueroa E, Laska EM, *et al.*: Safety and efficacy of gamma-vinyl GABA (GVG) for the treatment of methamphetamine and/or cocaine addiction. *Synapse* 2005, 55:122-125.
71. McTavish SE, McPherson MH, Harmer CJ, *et al.*: Antidopaminergic effects of dietary tyrosine depletion in healthy subjects and patients with manic illness. *Br J Psychiatry* 2001, 179:356-360.
72. Batki SL, Delucchi K, Hersh D, *et al.*: Amolodipine treatment of methamphetamine dependence, a controlled outpatient trial: preliminary analysis. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2001, 63:12.
73. Batki SL, Moon J, Delucchi K, *et al.*: Methamphetamine quantitative urine concentrations during a controlled trial of fluoxetine treatment. Preliminary analysis. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 2000, 909:260-263.
74. Galloway GP, Newmeyer J, Knapp T, *et al.*: A controlled trial of imipramine for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence. *J Subst Abuse Treat* 1996, 13:493-497.
75. Johnson BA, Rawson R, Elkashaf A, *et al.*: Ondansetron for the treatment of methamphetamine dependence. Paper presented at Sixty-sixth Annual Scientific Meeting of College on Problems of Drug Dependence. San Juan, Puerto Rico; June 12-17, 2004.
76. Cunningham JK, Liu LM: Impacts of federal precursor chemical regulations on methamphetamine arrests. *Addiction* 2005, 100:479-488.
77. Cunningham JK, Liu LM: Impacts of federal ephedrine and pseudoephedrine regulations on methamphetamine-related hospital admissions. *Addiction* 2003, 98:1229-1237.
78. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: Revised guidelines for HIV counseling, testing, and referral. *MMWR* 2001, 50:1-58.