

POLICY RESEARCH CENTERS using research to respond to timely HIV/AIDS policy questions

Proposal to Mandate Condom Distribution in Prisons Would Reduce Correctional Facility Costs for Inmate Health Care in California

Question:

What is the potential impact of the proposed bill (AB999, Bonta) mandating the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to make condoms available to inmates in its 33 California prisons?

Background: In response to a newly released report on the pilot program that evaluated condoms Solano State Prison facilities (Lucas, 2011), California Assembly Rob Member Bonta recently proposed AB999, which would require the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (CDCR) to develop a five-year plan to make condoms available in all California prisons. AB999 would instruct CDCR to use funds from its budget to provide condoms and condom dispensing machines at all California prisons by December 2019. It would encourage facilities to consider also allowing prisoners to request condoms privately from prison medical and mental health care providers and would require condom program planning and implementation to include input

health, and custody staff.

There are currently over 124,000 distribution, a comparison of the individuals housed in California prisons on any given day, of whom pilot and pilot periods and surveys over 95% are males. The mean length of stay is 22 months and close to 60% return within two years of release (CDCR 2011). None currently have access to condoms -- a public health HIV/ STD prevention tool that the World with an average daily population of Health Organization and the United 810. Nations Programs on HIV/AIDS recommend be made available in 2) There was no evidence that custody settings.

distribution in one of the four Methods: Review of findings from or resulted in injuries to staff or evaluations of condom distribution programs in California correctional institutions and brief analysis of 3) Serious condom-related safety or potential HIV infections averted in management issues are rare. CA prison by AB 999.

Findings:

Solano Prison Pilot Program

The California Correctional Health reduce the transmission of HIV, Services (CCHCS), Public Health Unit (PHU), in collaboration with the California Department of Public Health, Office of AIDS (OA), and Sexually Transmitted Diseases 6) The first year start-up cost the risk, feasibility, and cost of a one-year (11/08 to 11/09) pilot program of condom distribution

from inmate peer educators and to inmates at Solano State Prison advisory councils, medical, public Facility II (Lucas, 2011). Based on reports of the numbers of condoms dispensed each week, the costs of rule violation reports for the preof inmates (n=26 pre and 25 post) and jail staff (n=114 pre and 55 post), the authors concluded:

- 1) An average of 30 condoms were distributed per week in a facility
- providing condoms posed increased risk to safety and security inmates.
- 4) Dispensing machines provide a feasible and relatively low cost method of condom distribution
- 5) Providing condoms would likely STDs, and hepatitis in CDCR prisons, thereby reducing medical costs in both CDCR and the community.
- (STD) Control Branch, evaluated including dispensers, condoms, and staff time was estimated at about \$1.50/inmate and the program's annual cost at \$0.75/inmate. This



using research to respond to timely HIV/AIDS policy questions

compares to the average annual Los Angeles Jail condom distribution cost of treating one HIV patient program (\$40,800).

7) Very few HIV infections (2.7 to 5.4 per year) would need to be prevented for the program to be cost-neutral.

San Francisco Jail condom distribution program

The San Francisco jail system has used a condom dispensing machine to make condoms available to its male inmates since 2007. A 2007 evaluation (Sylla et al. 2010) compared using a condom dispensing machine with health-educator distribution system that had been used between 1989 and 2007. The comparison of pre-/ post- data from the four-month pilot found:

- 1. Availability of condom dispensing machines increased both prisoners' awareness of their legitimate access obtaining condoms.
- 2. Particularly large increases in knowledge about and use of the condom access programs occurred among those who were HIV infected or in a high-risk group.
- 3. Sexual activity did not increase, operations custody were impeded, and custody acceptability of condom access for prisoners society. (Leibowitz, et al 2012). increased.

The Los **Angeles** Department began distribution of one condom a week to interested inmates in a segregated unit for self-identified 5. Condom use in jail can also avert male-to-female transgenders in 2001. about their sexual activity and Kerndt 2008) condom use before and after condom distribution began in 2001, 6. There is general staff acceptance and again in 2007. were conducted with 10 line and of its potential public health administrative staff regarding the benefits. program.

- 1. Over half of those surveyed or in 2007 (53%) reported anal sex to during custody in the prior 30 days. Of these, 65% reported using condoms and 49% of their reported anal sex acts were not condom protected (Harawa et al., 2010).
- Compared to rates of to condoms and their likelihood of unprotected anal intercourse in **Discussion** the absence of condom availability, the condom distribution program Although data indicate that most averted 25% of potential in-custody HIV transmissions each month. likely (Leibowitz, et al 2012).
 - Society's future 3. medical costs averted due to having fewer HIV transmissions far exceed not the cost of the program, so condom 2012; Mutter et al., 2006, Krebs distribution in jail is cost-saving to
 - 4. Cost savings were sensitive to the proportion of anal sex acts protected

by condoms, thus allowing access to more than one condom per week could potentially increase the Sheriff's program's effectiveness (Leibowitz allowing et al., 2012).

- men who have sex with men and the transmission of large numbers of STIs at a low net cost and at a Inmates were surveyed potential cost savings. (Tuli and
 - Interviews of the Los Angeles program because
 - 7. Staff did not report jail safety management issues related condom availability or the program's design. However, some expressed concerns about the mixed message sent by condom availability in a context where sex is barred (McCuller & Harawa, under review).

HIV-positive prisoners in the US entered prison already infected with HIV (Harawa, NT & Adimora A, 2008), both HIV/STD discounted transmission and sexual activity have been well documented in prison settings. (Brodsky JL. et al., & Simmons, 2002; Macher et al., 2006; Macalino et al., 2004).

> population-based estimates No are available on the prevalence

California HIV/AIDS

POLICY RESEARCH CENTERS using research to respond to timely HIV/AIDS policy questions

among California prison inmates, saving in the first year. Results for Los Angeles Jail unit for MSM would be even more positive Drew University of Medicine and likely overstate the rates of anal after the initial one-time costs of Sciences intercourse for a general prison initiating the program had been A. Leibowitz, Ph.D., UCLA School of population. A small study of paid. prisoners in one CA medical prison facility found that 4.6% of HIV- Conclusions negatives reported ever having anal sex in custody (Lucas, 2007). The findings from three California References Recent population-based studies in condom English and Australian prisons have are consistent with evaluations in Prisons, 2001-2010. Office of found that 2.0-3.6% of prisoners of condom distribution programs Justice Programs. September 2012. report ever engaging in consensual in other custody settings that NCJ 238877. anal sex while in prison (Green 2003; Butler 2013)

three percent of California prisoners by a majority of inmates and engage in anal sex, that 51 percent correctional facility staff of their sex acts are protected by condoms (when condoms are 2. Inmates' sexual activity and drug available), as was found in the Los use do not increase (Bulter, 2013) Angeles study, and that the seropositivity rate of prisoners is 1.1 3. Serious condom-related safety or percent, there would be 2,242 California prisoners at risk of HIV infection (sexually active and HIVnegative). If we further assume that each of these at-risk prisoners has the number of sexual encounters observed in the Los Angeles study, 5. we predict there would be 14.4 new HIV transmissions per year when condoms are not available, and 6.9 new infections if condoms are available. Thus, making condoms available to California prisoners is predicted to avert 7.5 new HIV infections, well above the 2.7-5.4 prisoners' release. infections that the evaluation of the Solano program estimated would be needed to assure that condom

of consensual sexual intercourse distribution in prisons was cost- Authors: estimates from the the condom distribution program N. Harawa, MPH, PhD Charles R.

distribution programs found that following have implementation,

- If we conservatively assume that 1. Condom distribution is accepted

 - management issues do not occur
 - Condom distribution would Butler T., Richters J., Yap L., reduction in other STIs.
 - Under most assumptions, the number of HIV Infections. 2013. infections averted would easily sextrans-2012-050856. meet the conservative criteria set by the Solano analysis for a California program that reduces prison costs. Corrections In addition, the program would (CDCR). reduce societal costs upon the Accessed May 7, 2013 at:

Public Affairs K.Farrell, LCSW, UCLA School of **Public Affairs**

Bureau of Justice Statistics. HIV

Brodsky JL., Samuel MC., Mohle-Boetani JC., Ng RC., Miller J., Gorman JM., Espain G., Bolan G. Syphilis Outbreak at a California Men's Prison. 2007-2008: Propagation by lapses in Clinical Management, Case Management, and Public Health Surveillance. Journal of Correctional Health Care. 2012. 19 (1). 54-64. DOI: 10.1177/1078345812458088

result in fewer HIV infections and a Donovan B. Condoms for prisoners: no evidence that they increase sex in prison, but they increase plausible safe sex. Sexually *Transmitted* DOI: 10.1036/

> of Department and Rehabilitation California Prisoners. http://www.ccr.ca.gov/Reports Research/Offender Information Services_Branch/Annual/CalPris/ CALPRISd2010.pdf

California HIV/AIDS

POLICY RESEARCH CENTERS using research to respond to timely HIV/AIDS policy questions

Green J., Strang J., Hetherton J., Lucas KD., Miller JL., Eckert V., Journal of Public Health 2010. Jun; Whiteley C., Heuston J., Maden T. Goldsby S., Henry MC., Samuel 100(6):982-5. Same-sex sexual activity of male MC., Mohle-Boetani JC. Evluation prisoners in England and Wales. of International Journal of STD & AIDS. Pilot Program conducted in one (2003). 14. 253-257

Harawa, NT., Sweat, J., George, S., & Sylla, M. Sex, condom use, and condom program utilization in a large jail unit for MSM and transgender inmates. Journal for Health Care of the Poor and Underserved. 2010 Aug; 21(3):1071-87. PubMed PMID: 20693745

NT., Adimora Harawa, Incarceration, African Americans, and HIV: Advancing a research agenda. Journal of National Medical Association 2008.100:57-62.

Krebs CP, Simmons M. Intraprison HIV transmission: an assessment of whether it occurs, how it occurs, and who is at risk. AIDS Education and Prevention. 2002; 14(5 Suppl B):53-64. [PubMed: 12413193] Leibowitz AA., Harawa N., Sylla M., Hallstrom CC., Kerndt PR. Condom distribution in jail to prevent HIV infection. AIDS & Behavior. 2012 May 4. [Epub ahead of print] PubMed PMID: 22555381.

Lucas KD, Horne RL, Bick JA. Sexual and drug-using HIV risk behaviors among incarcerated African American and white males. International Society for Sexually Transmitted Disease Research. July 28 - August 1, 2007, Seattle, WA (poster presentation)

Prisoner Condom California State Prison Health Public Unit, California Correctional Health Care Services. 2011. http://www.cdph.ca.gov/ programs/std/Documents/SBD%20 Pilot Final%20Report 122210-CDPH-CCHCS September2011.pdf

Macalino GE, Vlahov D, Sanford-Colby S, et al. Prevalence and ican and white males. Internationincidence of HIV, hepatitis B virus, al Society for Sexually Transmitted and hepatitis C virus infections Disease Research. July 28 – August among males in Rhode Island 1, 2007, Seattle, WA (poster preprisons. American Journal of Public sentation) Health. 2004; 94:1218-1223. [PubMed: 15226146]

Macher A, Kibble D, Wheeler D. HIV transmission in correctional facility. Emerging Infectious Diseases. 2006; 12:669–671. [PubMed: 16704817] McCuller WJ., Harawa NT., A Condom Distribution Program in the Los Angeles Men's Central Jail: Sheriff Deputy's Attitudes and Opinions. (Under Review). Journal of Correctional Health Care.

Mutter RC, Grimes RM, Labarthe D. Evidence of intraprison spread of HIV infection. Archives Internal Medicine. 1994; 154:793-795. [PubMed: 8147684]

Sylla M, Harawa N, Grinstead Reznick O. The first condom machine in a US jail: the challenge of harm reduction in a law and order environment. **American**

Access Tuli Karunesh, Kerndt Peter R Preventing sexually transmitted Facility. infections among incarcerated men who have sex with men: a costeffectiveness analysis. Sexually Transmitted Diseases. 2009; 36(2) Suppl): S41-8.

> Lucas KD, Horne RL, Bick JA. Sexual and drug-using HIV risk behaviors among incarcerated African Amer-

The California HIV/AIDS Policy Research Centers:

- University of California, San Fransisco
- San Fransisco AIDS Foundation
- **Project Inform**
- University of California, Los Angeles
- AIDS Project Los Angeles
- Los Angeles Gay & Lesbian Center

Funding for this research is orovided by the California HIV/ AIDS Research Program

Additional support provided by the UCLA Center for HIV Identification, Prevention and Treatment Services (CHIPTS).